Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP

Intellectual Property Law

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 0 5 2005

1114 Marsh Street San Luis Obispo CA 93401 Tel 805-547-5580 Fax 805-547-5590

www.kmob.com

USPTO FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET Page 1 of 2

Docket No.: VANM160.001 APC

CUSTOMER NO. 20995

Applicant

Remacle, Jose

App. No.

09/582,817

Filed

November 8, 2000

For

METHOD CO

D COMPRISING CAPTURE

MOLECULE FIXED ON DISC SURFACE

Examiner

Sisson, Bradley L.

Group Art Unit

1634

CERTIFICATE OF PAX TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being transmitted via facsimile to the USPTO Central Fax No. (703) 872-9306 on the date shown below:

January 5, 2005____

Marina L. Gordey, Reg. No. 52,950

Transmitted herewith for filing and consideration in the above-referenced application are the following items:

(X) Declaration under 37 C.F.R. §1.132 in 3 pages.

FILING FEES:

				K	EE.	CALC	ULATION					-
FEE TYPE				FEE CODE		CALCULATION				TOTAL		
Total Claims minus 20; or Previously Paid	25	-	25	E	0	1202	(\$50)	0	×	50	=	\$0
Independent minus 3; or Previously Paid	4	•	4	-	0	1201	(\$200)	0	×	200	= .	\$0
Multiple Claim						1203	(\$360)					\$0
1 Month Extension						1251	(\$120)				-	\$0
2 Month Extension						1252	(\$450)					\$0
3 Month Extension						1253	(\$1,020)					\$0
Terminal Disclaimer	3	7 CF	R§1.	20(d))	1814	(\$130)					\$0
		·						Sì	UB T	OTAL		\$0
The present application qu	alifie	s for	Small	Enti	ty st	atus und	er 37 CFR §					(\$0)
General Petition			R§I.			1460	(\$)					\$0
								тот	AL F	EE D	ÜE .	\$0

(X) Total pages in transmission: 5

Orange County 949-760-0404

San Diego

San Francisco

Los Angeles

San Luis Obispo

JAN. 5.2005 3:50PM KMOB SAN LUIS OBISPO

NO.175 P.2/5

Knahbe Martana Ekson & Bear LLP

Application No.: 09/582817

Filing Date: November 8, 2000

CUSTOMER NO. 20995

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, now or in the future, or credit any overpayment to Account No. 11-1410.

Marina L. Gordey Registration No. 52,950 Agent of Record Customer No. 20,995 (805) 547-5580

O:\DOCS\MXG\MXG-6557.DOC;vt 010505

RÉCEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 9 5 2005

VANM160,001APC

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Remacle

Appl. No.

09/582,817

Filed

November 8, 2000

For

METHOD COMPRISING CAPTURE

MOLECULE FIXED ON DISC SURFACE

Examiner

Sisson, Bradley L.

Group Art Unit;

1634

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R 61,132

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

- This Declaration is being submitted to demonstrate that fluorescent based techniques are not compatible with conventional CDs or DVDs
- 2. I am an inventor on the above-identified patent application and am familiar with the specification and prosecution history.
- 3. I have extensive experience in the field of the claimed invention as indicated in the attached Curriculum Vitae provided herewith as Exhibit A.
- 4. The method of detection of the claimed invention is based upon the reading of a signal resulting from the binding from the target and the capture molecules that forms a precipitate on the CD or DVD surface, preferably an opaque or magnetic precipitate. Conventional CDs or DVDs are made of a polycarbonate which is not adequate for a detection by fluorescent labels due to their very high levels of auto-fluorescence. To demonstrate the high levels of autofluorescence

Appl, No.

09/582,817

Filed

November 8, 2000

with discs made of polycarbonates, we conducted experiments in which the levels of autofluorescence of polycarbonate discs and glass were compared.

The experiments were performed as follows. Diaglass slides (2.5 x 7.5 mm) with aldehyde groups (EAT, Namur, Belgium) and a CD recordable (ISP, Tirlemont, Belgium, lot 2K0210) were used as substrates. The CD was cut at the same dimension as the glass slide to allow the reading in a laser scanner. The CD is made of polycarbonate base covered by a protective layer (acrylate).

The two substrates (glass and CD) were scanned in a laser confocal scanner "ScanArray" (Packard, USA) at a resolution of 10 µm with PMT gain 70% and laser power 100%. The slides were first scanned with an excitation wavelength of 543 nm (Cyanin 3 channel) and then at 633 nm (Cyanin 5 channel). After image acquisition, the scanned 16-bit images were imported to the software, 'ImaGene4.0' (BioDiscovery, Los Angeles, CA, USA), which was used to quantify the emitted fluorescence. The fluorescent signals were quantified in two different areas of the substrate. The quantification of one area was made in the software, 'ImaGene4.0' using a grid of 5 × 30. The average value of the 150 cells within the grid was reported in the table of the result and corresponds to the signal mean for one substrate in one area and for one excitation wavelength. The process was repeated in a second area, for the two substrates and for the two excitation wavelengths. Finally, the mean value of the two areas was calculated for each substrate.

The results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GLASS		CD		
		Signal mean	mean	Signal mean	mean	
Cyanin 3	area 1	area 1 240,2	524 60	32307,86	32576,04	
	area 2	228,99	234,60	32844,22		
Cyanine 5	area 1	468,27	400.00	0122.06	8799,19	
	агеа 2	451,65	459,96	8476,32		

JAN. 5.2005 3:51PM KMOB SAN LUIS OBISPO

NO.175 P.5/5

Appl. No.

09/582,817

Filed

November 8, 2000

In this experiment, the fluorescent background is so high for the CD (especially for Cy3) as compared to glass that spots resulting from the binding between capture and target molecules would not be distinguishable from the background.

6. I declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful, false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or patent issuing therefrom

Dated: 4 January 2005

O:\DOC\$\MXG\MXG-6453.DOC 120904

Jose Remacle